UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,990	10/13/2005	Chiaki Nakajima	80664(302760)	5838
	7590 03/10/200 NGELL PALMER & D	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 5587	<i>7</i> 4	MCCOMMAS, STUART S		
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner   Stuart McCommas   2629	Interview Summary	10/552,990	NAKAJIMA, CHIAKI	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Stuart McCommas. (3) William Brooks.  (2) Sumati Lefkowitz. (4)  Date of Interview: 06 March 2009.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1-5.  Identification of prior art discussed: Nakamura.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) NA.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented proposed amendments to the claims and arguments concerning the application. The Examiner agreed that the proposed amendments appeared to overcom the rejection of record, and indicated that the proposed amendments, if formally presented after final, would not be entered as they would require further consideration and/or search.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview.	interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
(3) <u>Stuart McCommas</u> .  (3) <u>Sumati Lefkowitz</u> .  (4)  Date of Interview: <u>06 March 2009</u> .  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, prief description:  Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-5</u> .  Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Nakamura</u> .  Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representative presented proposed amendments to the claims and arguments concerning the application. The Examiner agreed that the proposed amendments appeared to overcom the rejection of record, and indicated that the proposed amendments, if formally presented after final, would not be entered as they would require further consideration and/or search.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview</u>		Stuart McCommas	2629	
Date of Interview: 06 March 2009.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c  Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ Claim(s) discussed: 1-5.  Identification of prior art discussed: Nakamura.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented proposed amendments to the claims and arguments concerning the application. The Examiner agreed that the proposed amendments appeared to overcom the rejection of record, and indicated that the proposed amendments, if formally presented after final, would not be entered as they would require further consideration and/or search.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):		
Date of Interview: 06 March 2009.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1-5.  Identification of prior art discussed: Nakamura.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented proposed amendments to the claims and arguments concerning the application. The Examiner agreed that the proposed amendments appeared to overcom the rejection of record, and indicated that the proposed amendments, if formally presented after final, would not be entered as they would require further consideration and/or search.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	(1) <u>Stuart McCommas</u> .	(3) <i>William Brooks</i> .		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1-5.  Identification of prior art discussed: Nakamura.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) NA.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented proposed amendments to the claims and arguments concerning the application. The Examiner agreed that the proposed amendments appeared to overcom the rejection of record, and indicated that the proposed amendments, if formally presented after final, would not be entered as they would require further consideration and/or search.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUBMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview	(2) <u>Sumati Lefkowitz</u> .	(4)		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)   Yes   Sho.   If Yes, brief description:   Shown or demonstration conducted: d)   Yes   Sho.   Yes, brief description:   Shown or demonstration conducted: d)   Yes   Sho.   If Yes, brief description:   Shown or demonstration conducted: d)   Yes   Shown or demonstration conducted: d)   Yes   Shown or demonstration of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:   Applicant's representative presented proposed amendments to the claims and arguments concerning the application. The Examiner agreed that the proposed amendments appeared to overcome the rejection of record, and indicated that the proposed amendments, if formally presented after final, would not be entered as they would require further consideration and/or search.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW Summary of Record of Interview	Date of Interview: <u>06 March 2009</u> .			
If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1-5.  Identification of prior art discussed: Nakamura.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented proposed amendments to the claims and arguments concerning the application. The Examiner agreed that the proposed amendments appeared to overcom the rejection of record, and indicated that the proposed amendments, if formally presented after final, would not be entered as they would require further consideration and/or search.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview		2) applicant's representati	ive]	
Identification of prior art discussed: Nakamura.  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented proposed amendments to the claims and arguments concerning the application. The Examiner agreed that the proposed amendments appeared to overcom the rejection of record, and indicated that the proposed amendments, if formally presented after final, would not be entered as they would require further consideration and/or search.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview		e)⊠ No.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented proposed amendments to the claims and arguments concerning the application. The Examiner agreed that the proposed amendments appeared to overcom the rejection of record, and indicated that the proposed amendments, if formally presented after final, would not be entered as they would require further consideration and/or search.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, THE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-5</u> .			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented proposed amendments to the claims and arguments concerning the application. The Examiner agreed that the proposed amendments appeared to overcom the rejection of record, and indicated that the proposed amendments, if formally presented after final, would not be entered as they would require further consideration and/or search.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, THE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	Identification of prior art discussed: Nakamura.			
reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented proposed amendments to the claims and arguments concerning the application. The Examiner agreed that the proposed amendments appeared to overcom the rejection of record, and indicated that the proposed amendments, if formally presented after final, would not be entered as they would require further consideration and/or search.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, THE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h) ⊠	N/A.	
	entered as they would require further consideration and/or (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	or search.  Indments which the examiner as copy of the amendments that led.)  EACTION MUST INCLUDE The last Office action has alread R OF ONE MONTH OR THIR ITERVIEW SUMMARY FORM	agreed would rende t would render the HE SUBSTANCE O dy been filed, APPI TY DAYS FROM T II, WHICHEVER IS	er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/Sumati Lefkowitz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629			t Unit 2629	

Application No.

Applicant(s)